

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary****Application No.**

10/811,154

**Applicant(s)**

PETTA ET AL

**Examiner**

Gregory J. Strimbu

**Art Unit**

3634

**All Participants:**(1) Gregory J. Strimbu.(2) James Raakman.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 15 December 2009**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**

2-10, 12, 13, 15-17, 21-23 and 26-31

**Prior art documents discussed:**

None

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Suggested changes to more accurately and patentably define the applicant's invention and to overcome 35 USC 112 informalities. See the examiner's amendment for the changes made*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)